

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/493,663	01/28/2000	Douglas D. Do	M4065.0225/p225	2516		
24998	7590 11/14/2003		EXAMI	EXAMINER		
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 2101 L STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526			BALI, VI	BALI, VIKKRAM		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2623	1,		
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2003	\((		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)				
			193,663	DO, DOUGLAS E	DO, DOUGLAS D.			
Office Action Summary		Exar	niner	Art Unit				
		Vikk	ram Bali	2623				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears o	on the cover sheet w		dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 August 2003</u> .							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.					
3)								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or elect	ion requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>6/18/2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) 🗆 .	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
		m for foreign priori	the condon 25 LLC C	\$ 440(a) (d) a= (6)				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>								
Attachment			_					
2) 🔲 Notico	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s nformal Patent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/493,663

Art Unit: 2623

## **DETAILED ACTION**

In response to the amendment filled on 8/28/2003 all the amendments have been entered and the action follows:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Addiego in view of Levy et al (US 4579455).

With respect to claim 1, Addiego discloses the invention as disclose and as described in the rejection, as the rejections are maintained and incorporated by references as set forth in the prior office action paper # 3. However, Addiego fail to disclose the selecting at least two of the plurality of displayed images based on an input by a user of the inspection apparatus, as newly added limitation. Levy teaches that the inspection instruction can be incorporated into microprocessor via a tape cassette or well by manual controls "based on an input by a user of the inspection apparatus" (see col. 5, lines 51-58) as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/493,663

Art Unit: 2623

The two references are combinable s they are analogous because they are solving similar problem of inspecting the specimen.

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention to simply use the feature as disclosed by the Levy of selecting the images using the windows in to the Addiego's inspection system in order to provide a improved defect detection method by reducing undetected defects and reducing false detected defects.

With respect to the claims 2-18 the rejections are maintained and incorporated by references as set forth in the prior office action paper # 3.

With respect to claims 5 and 9, Levy also teaches the inputting data corresponding to the selected images in response to the user, (see col. 5, lines 51-58) as claimed.

Claims 19-39 are rejected for the same reasons as set forth for the claims 1-18, because claims 19-39 are claiming subject matter as claimed in claims 1-18.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vikkram Bali whose telephone number is 703.305.4510. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/493,663

Art Unit: 2623

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on 703.308.6604. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.872.9314 for regular communications and 703.872.9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.306.0377.

νb

November 12, 2003